

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov www.ct.gov/csc

December 8, 2008

Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq. Robinson & Cole LLP 280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103-3597

RE:

DOCKET NO. 369 - Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located off Sherman Road, Woodstock, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Baldwin:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than December 30, 2008. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. A list of parties and intervenors dated October 1, 2008, is enclosed. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truky

Syderek Phelps Executive Director

SDP/MP

c: Council Members
Parties and Intervenors



Docket No. 369 Pre-Hearing Questions Set One

- 1. When was the search ring first initiated for a tower in this area?
- 2. Is the proposed tower site within 2,500 feet of the Town of Union?
- 3. Describe the land uses surrounding the proposed tower site.
- 4. Has Cellco considered repeaters and/or microcells to serve the proposed coverage area? Were either found to be viable alternatives to a proposed tower?
- 5. Would the backup generator's diesel fuel tank be located inside the equipment shelter? Describe containment of fuel and/or oil in the event of a spill.
- 6. Has Cellco considered using a fuel cell as a backup power source for the proposed facility? Explain.
- 7. Provide the distance and direction from the proposed site to the existing sites that the proposed tower would interact with.
- 8. Would flush-mounted antennas or antennas attached to the tower via T-arms provide the required coverage? Would either configuration result in reduced coverage and/or necessitate greater antenna height?
- 9. Provide the number of watts effective radiated power (ERP) and the transmit frequencies for Cellco's proposed cellular and PCS service at the proposed facility.
- 10. Would the proposed facility be E-911 compliant? Explain.
- 11. Calculate the amounts of cut and fill required to develop the proposed tower site and access drive.
- 12. Would any construction take place within the local regulated upland review area?
- 13. Provide cellular and PCS coverage plots using the same scale provided assuming the tower is 130 feet tall (with 127 foot antenna centerline height) and 120 feet tall (with 117 feet antenna centerline height), respectively.
- 14. List any hiking trails within a 2-mile radius of the proposed facility and comment on the expected visibility of the proposed tower from such trails.